SUDEP Action submission - Inquiry into
Delivering core NHS and care services during the pandemic and beyond

SUDEP Action is the only UK charity dedicated to raising awareness of epilepsy risks, tackling epilepsy-related deaths (including those due to Sudden Unexpected Death in Epilepsy) and supporting those bereaved by the condition. Almost entirely funded by people bereaved suddenly by epilepsy – the organisation helped 13,800 people and nearly 300 organisations last year.

The charity’s digital tools support clinicians and people with epilepsy to reduce epilepsy risks and improve learning opportunities from avoidable epilepsy deaths. These free, award-winning services are recognised ‘best practice’ for taking a risk management approach to epilepsy mortality by NHS Rightcare\(^1\) & the Royal College of Pathologists\(^2\).

The charity team has agreed a 25% reduction in pay during the pandemic, so delivering vital services can continue, as our work is not funded by any government emergency rescue package. While demands from excess epilepsy deaths have risen, fundraising events that we rely on have been postponed.

One of SUDEP Action’s core priorities is working to ensure people living with epilepsy are able to live well, to live safely and are supported to manage their epilepsy risks; therefore, the topic of this inquiry aligns with this aim.

Executive Summary:

- Pre-pandemic, epilepsy deaths were identified and highlighted as a concern by the third sector due to lack of systematic support\(^3\). Epilepsy is not included as a priority for the majority of local/national health systems, with risk management practices varying nationally. We are confident from our expert network that there will be more SUDEP deaths \((\text{Sudden Unexpected Death in Epilepsy}, \text{a leading cause of epilepsy death, especially in young populations})\) alone this year than the number of deaths due to COVID-19 in age group 20 to 40s. This is likely to remain the case even with the addition of COVID-19 related mortality. People with epilepsy, already isolated, experiencing inequality and underserved are likely to further isolated and unable to access services; exacerbating current inequalities.
  - Therefore, we are calling for priority to be given by Government to announce the resumption of epilepsy services to avoid people with epilepsy becoming collateral damage during and beyond the pandemic.

- During and post-pandemic epilepsy deaths look set to increase. Extra support is needed to ensure these deaths are not just statistics, with the story behind each death recognised & opportunities for learning provided to the clinical and bereaved communities.

- Rising levels of need are being picked up by third sector organisations from clinical, people with epilepsy and bereaved communities. There is varied and, in some areas, significant change to epilepsy service provision & access, with epilepsy specialists redeployed elsewhere. Concerns have been raised that patients are not accessing services during the current lockdown/pandemic and that new ways of service provision (eg Telehealth) while providing access, may be reducing the frequency of effective risk management reviews.

- Significant transformation work has involved neurology and epilepsy in the last 18 months. The pandemic hit at the time when implementing these resources was due to commence. It is imperative this work resumes as soon as safely possible to ensure epilepsy & the work completed does not once again fall off the agenda.

- Downgrading of systems for information, questioning, learning and accountability after an epilepsy death is already being experienced by bereaved families; leading to increasing demand on SUDEP Action's specialist epilepsy bereavement support

---


\(^2\) Royal College of Pathologists Guidelines on autopsy practice: [Deaths in patients with epilepsy including sudden deaths](https://www.rcpath.org) (July 2019)

\(^3\) Hanna, J et al, \[Executive summary and recommendations\], Epilepsy & Behavior, Volume 103, 106650
services (which include counselling and case-support). Many newly bereaved remain unaware of & aren’t signposted to the specialist support available following an epilepsy death, leading to increased uncertainty and trauma.

1. **Neurology services have reported to SUDEP Action a dip in epilepsy referrals and a reluctance from patients to engage with existing services**, likely as a result of the pandemic. This is a grave concern as it suggests undiagnosed people with epilepsy are either struggling to get onto the diagnosis pathway due to increased demand on GP/Primary care services (a referral should happen within 2 weeks⁴), or are not presenting to hospital despite either new or worsening seizures and epilepsy symptoms.

> “We have seen a collapse in referrals from General Practice. Neurologists redeployed to intensive care”. Midlands based Senior Clinician

a. Currently Neurology is not an NHS priority and epilepsy remains highly stigmatised. But **21 people with epilepsy die weekly in the UK**, many of which are in young and otherwise healthy people. **50% of all epilepsy deaths are thought to be potentially avoidable (pre-COVID-19)**⁵. We would anticipate avoidable deaths to increase as result. We are receiving concerns of rising epilepsy risks during the pandemic, on top of epilepsy risks already identified since 2018⁶.

b. **Sudden Unexpected Death in Epilepsy (SUDEP) accounts for a large proportion of epilepsy deaths each year in the UK**, with those aged 20-40 being at elevated risk. Our clinical networks are voicing concern that continued flexing of staff away from epilepsy to COVID-19 care will result in increased SUDEP in the 20-40 age range, at a higher number than those of the same age dying due to COVID-19. Because of this fear, SUDEP Action are calling on Government to announce resumption of epilepsy services (like has already occurred for fertility & cancer services) to avoid people with epilepsy becoming collateral damage because of the pandemic.

c. The Epilepsy Deaths Register contains data showing 10% of deaths reporting to the register were in those undiagnosed at the time of death⁷.

d. Research exploring epilepsy risk by examining death records found only 20% of people with epilepsy who had died suddenly had been in contact with specialist services in the previous year. In 90% of these deaths there was an increased in seizure frequency 3-6 months before death; half had a record of alcohol misuse, and a quarter had been taking drugs to treat depression or anxiety. All of which can lead to worsening seizures & increased mortality risk, but all of which can be better managed if **care is patient-centred**⁸. These warning signals could currently be being missed if people with epilepsy (or awaiting diagnosis) are not able to, or aware of the importance of engaging with epilepsy services.

e. **Already vulnerable groups such as those who are pregnant⁹ ¹⁰, who have a Learning Disability (LD)¹¹ or other comorbidity, or are living in deprivation¹² are known to be at increased risk of premature death (pre-COVID-19) & often require regular multi-disciplinary team support which includes epilepsy care & risk management alongside other health management needs. An inability or reluctance to access these services due to the pandemic & its long-lasting effect could lead to increased avoidable deaths among these populations.**

2. **Risk management is vitally important to reducing avoidable epilepsy-related deaths**, highlighted in research, NICE Guidelines, Prevention of Future Death reports and Fatal Accident Inquiries. Moves to new methods of holding appointments put the already varied national practices of risk communication/review further at risk.

---

⁴ NICE, [http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg137/chapter/1-guidance#information](http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg137/chapter/1-guidance#information)
⁵ Mbvizo, G et al. Epilepsy-Related Deaths Are A Major Public Health Problem: Results From A National Study Using Linked Administrative Health Data To Determine The Burden Of Epilepsy-Related Deaths And The Proportion That Are Potentially Avoidable, Epilepsia 2019;60(S2):S5-S248
¹² See reference 5
a. SUDEP Action’s free award-winning clinical tool to support all clinicians involved in epilepsy patient care to support discussion and management of these risks (the SUDEP and Seizure Safety Checklist), demonstrating these fatal risks can be reduced if regularly discussed in a standardised way\textsuperscript{13}; over 950 clinicians currently use the tool to manage epilepsy risks with their patients.

“Currently, there is a very large focus on COVID-19 and associated patients, but it’s really important that we remember to care as best we can for epilepsy patients & to remain aware of the associated risk factors. During this time, people with epilepsy may be having difficulty collecting medications when self-isolating, getting through to their GPs or perhaps not wanting to feel a burden during the pandemic. This could be detrimental if risk factors are increasing, e.g. seizure frequency increasing. At this time, there is also potential for increased alcohol consumption as this can often accompany boredom in people staying at home. Lack of regular contact with relatives/friends who may have helped to monitor or notice changes in their epilepsy could put people more at risk.

People with epilepsy may be struggling to get the full support that they deserve. I myself lost my friend to epilepsy/SUDEP in 2018, so I know first-hand that SUDEP Action are a vital charity that continue to work to prevent epilepsy deaths.” A Paramedic working on the front line

3. Telehealth can help support engagement in healthcare for people with epilepsy, who can struggle to attend appointments due to restrictions the condition places on them (eg: driving)\textsuperscript{14}. The SUDEP & Seizure Checklist was successfully piloted in this way; showing increased patient/clinician engagement, allowing for early clinical intervention when potentially fatal risks were flagged. Standardised uptake of the tool as part of new methods of working would help ensure epilepsy risks & their reduction remain core to epilepsy care during & beyond the pandemic.

a. Consideration of continuing with such methods post-pandemic must include provision to enable vulnerable people with epilepsy such as those from deprived backgrounds or those with LD\textsuperscript{15} and the elderly, to effectively engage with these vital epilepsy services. As those in deprived areas are 3x more at risk of dying prematurely\textsuperscript{16}, and those with LD or other comorbidities are at significantly higher levels of risk\textsuperscript{17}.

4. Systems are flexing to meet the needs of the pandemic, at the expense of other services. There are serious concerns among the clinical community we engage with that epilepsy services are being diminished & redeployed to support the COVID-19 pandemic to such an extent that epilepsy patients are not able to adequately engage with healthcare about their epilepsy - putting lives at risk and resulting in increased deaths. National policy is not fully considering local service issues and pre-existing staff shortages - a balance between COVID-19 and existing health conditions is highly important.

“Wards and investigations and surgery closed. I have seen 4 deaths already in very short time. We are used to seeing excess mortality in normal times, but preliminary reports seem to suggest rising premature mortality in people with epilepsy” Professor Ley Sander, Academic Neurologist, UCL, London

“When lockdown started, we had very few people contact the emergency helpline for one once weekly urgent telephone clinic. As time has progressed, calls have become more frequent. I am concerned that reducing from 13 clinics per week to one is not sustainable for very long without significant risk. Additionally, in our trust, all neurologists (8) have been redeployed, bar one.” Midlands based Specialist Clinician

5. Many epilepsy services are already incredibly stretched for their patient caseload. There is a growing concern among clinicians that services will not return to normal post-pandemic, leaving even larger resource gaps. Any move towards services providing a ‘minimum standard’ of care could prove fatal to patients in some areas if it allows services to reduce their current offering. Especially as many services’ pre-pandemic were not able to provide a core epilepsy service beforehand.

\textsuperscript{13} Shankar, R., Henley, W.H., Boland et al, Decreasing the risk of SUDEP: structured communication of risk factors for premature mortality in people with epilepsy. Eur J Neurol. 2018;

\textsuperscript{14} Brown, S Will teleneurology hit the big time? The Lancet Neurology, Volume 3, Issue 9, S17 - S18

\textsuperscript{15} See reference 10

\textsuperscript{16} Public Health England, report into Deaths Associated with Neurological Conditions, March 2018

\textsuperscript{17} See reference 2
6. With CQC also halting routine inspections of the services they monitor, there is a danger that epilepsy care and the varied standards for this nationally could be left to decline. Given the high risk of many people with LD & epilepsy of premature death, this is a worrying issue as it weakens this accountability system for ensuring patient safety.

7. Since the pandemic hit, SUDEP Action have been supporting more bereaved families experiencing more complex trauma (over 150 contacts for April–March). The bereaved are negotiating challenging post-death services yet there are even more barriers due to COVID-19 as investigations and coronial services change. Those bereaved by epilepsy are also saying they feel excluded from public narrative about sudden grief, which is dominated by COVID-19 grief.

8. SUDEP Action’s support team, who are specialists at epilepsy bereavement counselling & casework, try to alleviate this experience of trauma with tailored support18, and the need for these services is set to be even more acute in future because of the wide-ranging impact of COVID-19. This is especially important as many of their coping strategies are now impossible due to the lockdown.

9. Despite this rising need, signposting to SUDEP Action’s free specialist service is not consistent (despite it being recommended by NHS Rightcare & the Royal College of Pathologists19) & is concerning as the bereaved are increasingly isolated due to the pandemic.

Examples of the service changes affecting those bereaved by epilepsy due to COVID-19:

10. Investigations, Inquests and COVID-19

When someone is bereaved by epilepsy, there are many questions about the death, how it happened and what can be done to prevent others dying in the future. Bereaved families need answers as part of their grief. The investigation / inquest process can help this and also bring learning to the wider system, including researchers and clinicians. Since the pandemic we have noticed a down-grading of the system after death – of post-mortems; adjourned inquests, or not held at all, and aspects of the investigation process not happening.

“We are advocating for families bereaved by epilepsy to have inquests (even if they are delayed) and for investigations to be carried out so that they can get the answers they need, which helps with their complex, traumatic grief.” Tracy Cowdry, Dip. Couns Reg. MBACP, Bereavement Services Manager, SUDEP Action

a. COVID-19 and the need for social distancing means that some inquest hearings are using videoconferencing. SUDEP Action are hearing from those we support how this affects their ability to participate and ask questions – increasing the sense of isolation & being unheard.

b. Alarming, we are seeing barriers to learning from deaths increasing. The Chief Coroner has issued guidance that inquests should not consider systematic issues relevant to avoidability of deaths20. We share the concerns expressed by the BMJ and others – there is significant impact of on learning from deaths & also on bereaved families experiencing this21. The coronial system has, before COVID-19, always included questioning and verdicts that include avoidable deaths reports, sending these to any involved institutions to consider how they can prevent future deaths.

11. Communication with a Trust during lockdown

A bereaved family (who have already waited many months for a clinical meeting to ask questions about their child’s death), had the process halted in March due to lockdown. The process (dealing with questions and complaints) is underway again but will be further delayed arranging meeting (even if virtual) due to backlogs and clinical availability as a result of COVID-19. Delayed grief can exacerbate trauma. Models of communication with view to compassionate resolution for both family and clinician do exist and could be implemented.

12. Families bereaved by epilepsy experiencing COVID-19 deaths

SUDEP Action’s specialist bereavement support team are supporting 3 families bereaved by epilepsy and who are now also experiencing COVID-19 deaths. One family had experienced two COVID-19 deaths following an epilepsy death earlier this year.

---

18 Cowdry et al, Learnings from supporting traumatic grief in the aftermath of sudden epilepsy deaths, Epilepsy & Behavior, V.103, 106416
19 See reference 1 & 2.
20 Chief Coroner’s Guidance No.37. COVID-19 deaths and possible exposure in the workplace (April 2020)
21 BMJ COVID-19: Coroners needn’t investigate PPE policy failures in deaths of NHS staff, new guidance says. 2020;369:m1806 doi: 10.1136/bmj.m1806
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a. Epilepsy deaths are particularly traumatic because it is sudden and unexpected. Some aspects of the experience of bereavement by COVID-19 will mirror & compound this impact.

13. **There is an imbalance of public reporting on COVID-19 deaths at time of rising excess mortality from other conditions.** Since 2013 SUDEP Action has collected data on epilepsy deaths (UK and internationally). 900 reports so far gather experiential data of services and impact before and after a death - this research enables lessons to be learnt from deaths so future lives can be saved\(^\text{22}\). The charity & its research partners receive no systematic support, yet there is potential for this system to improve national understanding of epilepsy deaths if there was visibility.

14. To better understand the impact the COVID-19 pandemic is having on epilepsy clinicians, people with epilepsy & those bereaved by the condition, **SUDEP Action are leading a 3 projects involving the University of Oxford & Newcastle University, on impact of COVID-19 on epilepsy risk, their management and its impact on bereavement**\(^\text{23}\). So lesson can be learnt to save lives and improving services & support during the pandemic and beyond.

---

\(^{22}\) www.epilepsydeathsregister.org